section F. Policy for Central War Posture and Strategy

Goals.

forestall them.

we was the first with the second of the first the first The primary objectives of U. S. policy with respect to central war was to take the first of the second of the first must be to deter deliberate attack and prevent unintended outbreak. The gravity and reference of the manager of the committee of the com-U. S. rejects armed aggression as a means of enhancing its security; nor of the first of the second can major thermonuclear war be its preferred instrument in meeting armed and the state of the second section in the second section of the section of the second section is section. aggression by others. It is an object of U. S. policy that there be adequate The wife of growing of the comment and a little the the transfer transfer the transfer of alternatives to the initiation by the U. S. of central war. Yet if central war and the second of the second o is forced upon the United States, U. S. military strength must still serve Control of the Comparties of the State of the Foreign section regards are belong an acceptanted multiple national objectives.

कुछ । देवता । द्वारावदाची राज्याची प्रतासी है है है हो सर्वाचन देन विस्तृत्व दीनावर्ष की जानर दायी स्तृत है जिसे हैं। Central war can result from a variety of causes ther than the January to Angel to the state of the total of the second o calculated and objective view of enemy leaders that they can achieve the state of the s decisive superiority over the U. S. by deliberate surprise attack. National so the contract of the contrac planning cannot safely be based on the assumption that deterrence will The same of the production of the second of the same o certainly succeed, that unpremeditated nuclear attacks cannot occur, or gain the an opening a secretical management and the present the match of the needs the that major aggression, undeterred, will never challenge the U. S. to fifill to complete to the more than the end of the end of the end of the its commitments to Allies and to protect its security by risking or waging They are the common that the second of the s central war. Neither can it regard all possible outcomes of a central war The property of the property o as indistinguishable. In some circumstances, oven the best outcome attainable in central war may represent unprecedented catastrophe; yet outcomes very significantly worse than the best, both in civil and military aspects, may also be possible, and it will remain an urgent goal of U. S. security policy to and the state of t

posture and strategy must continuously be tested to come ability to prevent deliberate or undeliberated attack but for ability to secure basic national objectives in wartime. Solutions to these separate problems can and should be chosen to reinforce each other.

The most urgent military goal in central war is to preclude the prospact of an unarmed U. S. confronting armed opponents. It is essential ? that no enemy be able to disarm the U. S. by surprise attack on forces... or controls; it is equally important that the U. S. not disarm itself, by expending all ready forces in initial attacks that cannot guarantee to the way disarm the opponent. Although the Soviet Union must be left in no doubt straight that its military strength would be drastically reduced in any central war, there may be future cirumstances in which U. S. countermilitary action alone could not disarm it totally in initial attack; the Soviets might be able to retain sizeable forces that were initially untargetable or that could be destroyed only at a highly unfavorable rate of exchange in terms of residual a capability. -To the extent that conservative planning must allow for the survival of such Soviet forces, U. S. posture and strategy must permit the retention of ready am uncommitted forces in reserve, at least comparable to estimated Soviet residual forces in ability to inflict further damage or to influence further the military balance. These forces must remain, under all circumstances of enemy attack, under effective control by authorized political leadership.

A visible and indisputable capability to achieve this basic military

A guirantees that even a wall-designed surprise attack would be futile and costly; an assault could neither win military superiority nor reduce to acceptable proportions the nuclear retaliation that could be Inunched by U. S. forces.

.At the same time, the capabilities required for this fundamental task serve the other wartime goals of minimizing damage to the U. S. and its Allies and forcing a conclusion to the war on advantageous terms. U. S. countermilitary action reduces enemy capability to inflict further camage or to continue the war; the survival of sizeable U. S. ready residual forces, Brown to the same for the proper threatening, by their very existence, enemy targets surviving or deliberately left undit in initial attacks, can destroy the will or surviving enemy leaders The state of was fing to be stated to pursue unrestricted attacks or to continue the war. and a section of a section of the se

The latter ability to influence enemy will might be particularly vital et se recto a farma palmas su servicio de la farma et fast et far a la farma. in circumstances when attacks upon enemy capabilities alone could not The second section of the second section of the second section of deprive enemy forces of a residual ability to inflict grave damage. Under those same circumstances, it might appear probable that attacks against artire were were energy and high governmental and military command centers, or indiscriminate initial attacks on all major urban-industrial centers would fail to inhibit punitive There is the first of the second of the seco retaliation by surviving enemy units, but would instead eliminate the water of hands of the hand went possibility that enemy response could be controlled or terminated to U. S. the state of the state of advantage.

The ability of U. S. ready forces held in reserve to extend deterrence, in some degree, into the wartime period, can have important effect not only upon the later stages of hostilities but upon the damage deliberately

tactics will reflect his preattack planning, which in turn reflects his image of U. S. capabilities and options. The prospect of confronting sizeable, protected and controlled U. S. reserve forces after any attack should deter him from planning unrestricted attacks on U. S. or Allied socie under any circum stances; it should further induce him to undertake preparetter post-attack flexibility, control, and information. It thus lays the groundwork, if war should occur, for deterring unrestricted enemy attacks and for deterring continuance of hostilities.

Not all objectives can be achieved with equal confidence. But a capability to preclude, with high confidence, enemy residual military superiors at any stage of the conflict offers best hope not only of deterring deliberate attack but, if war occurs, of minimizing damage to the U. S. and its Allies and of stopping the war on the most advantageous terms possible.

At the same time, the posture and strategy for deterring or waging central war must be consistent with efforts to minimize the likelihood of accidents, unauthorized actions or unintended nuclear exchanges, to reduce the spread of nuclear weapons, to deter or defeat local aggression, and to enhance U. S. security by safeguarded arms control agreements and by non-military means.

Contingencies

Posture and strategy for central war must be designed to achieve these various U. S. security objectives under a spectrum of contingencies.

absence of national or international tension, but a wishful or frightened opponent in a time of crisis, when his alternatives to attack upon the U. S. might also seem dangerous to him. Its ability to deter must be able to withstand sireable enemy miscalculation of U. S. intentions or capabilities, and should offer hope of withstanding unforeseem technological shifts. Its ability to prevent or to contain the political and military consequences of accidents, unauthorized actions, false alarms or "third party" actions must be considered for varied situations of international tension and local war, when such incidents are both more likely and more dangerous than in periods of relative calmas to circums were the likely and more dangerous than

Mkelihood, there could be wide variance in the circumstances of initiation, enemy posture and readiness, enemy tactics, the results of initial attacks, the attitudes and actions of Allias on both sides, and enemy wartime objectives. Ability to achieve U. S. wartime objectives would depend upon ability to adapt U. S. strategic response to these various circumstances, which might be unforced, ambiguous, or both. A single detenation or several might presage a major assault, or come by accident, unauthorized action to attack by a minor power. A surprise attack might be calculated and well-designed or a hasty response to false tactical warning or miscalculation of U. S. intentions. It might be well or poorly executed, providing much warning or none; retaining sizeable, protected enemy reserves or few; destroying all but the most protected U. S. forces or failing to do so. It

and major command centers or it might carefully avoid such targetz: Central war might cluminate an escalating local war, proceded by mobilization, deployment and heightoned alert on both sides; or an attack might follow a period of normal alert. Enemy posture and readiness might lack major vulnerability, assuring the survival under counterforce attack of major mobile, concealed or hardened enemy forces; or the enemy may have failed to protect parts of his system effectively.

This list of possibilities is not exhautive. Intermediate situations between the extremes cited may offer special problems; and "surprises"; in the form of wholly unforeseen circumstances are likely.

Among all these contingencies, it is not exclusively the "worst" cases or even the most likely ones that deserve attention; the design of posture or and strategy should provide insurance against a broad range of uncertain possibilities. It is necessary to be able to exploit even improbably favorable wartime possibilities, such as windfalls of intelligence or warning, badly accepted onemy attack, or urgent desire of leaders of one or more enemy. Institute to surrender after early operation. A capability for flexible response under high-level, informed and experienced political leadership may be most critical, and most rewarding, in such favorable cases, or in the ambiguous and urgent circumstances presented by accident, unauthorized action, "third party" attack, enemy false alarm or escalation of local war. It is in these situations that the need for a range of options alterantive to an all-out,

would include a series of well-designed alerting actions and defensive measures, communication with Allies and potential enemies, augmentation of intelligence and warning systems, and implementation of threats and discriminating counterforce attacks.

Requirements of the transfer of the must all the and the transfer of the

To satisfy these demands, military posture for central war should acquire, as soon as possible and to the utmost extent practicable, the following general characteristics:

- Survival and endurance. Strategic offensive forces, in major strength, should be capable of surviving an enemy surprise attack without essential reliance upon calck reaction to warning. A sizeable fraction of such forces should be capable of enduring in a wartime environment under prolonged reattack, as a ready reserve force responsive to flexible, centralized control.
- conduct of nuclear war should be exercised at all times by highest rational authority. The President will determine and review procedures for such control, including any delegation of basic decisions under any circumstances of Presidential inability to control. There should be reliable physical safeguards against accident or unauthorized action involving nuclear weapons, including weapons under dual control with an Ally; in particular, weapons on high alort status, in mobile launchors, and in planes launched under

We control. Authorized procedures and protected control capabilities mould assure an opponent of an effective, properly authorized response under all circumstances of attack, without any reliance upon the possion, dility of unauthorized initiative.

- : 3. Information. Reliable, unequivocal bomb alarm detectors and bomb alarm signals at key warning, communications and command points and all major offensive force bases, and detectors at all major cities, should be provided to assure any opponent that dependable notification of any surprise attack cannot be eliminated. Such a system should be protected under attack to a degree which will enable it to provide at least gross indications of the size and nature of enemy attack, the status of U. S. bases and the level of damage to U.S. society. So far as practicable, reliable information, status-reporting, intelligence, sensor, and reconnaissance systems, including protected post-attack capabilities, should was be provided to furnish more discriminating knowledge of the source and nature of attack, U. S. and enemy residual capability, and damage to ... U. S., Allied, and enemy societies. Means should be provided for prompt, reliable and unequivocal indication of the status of higher command centers to all units, permitting orderly devolution of command in accordance with authorized procedures. The state of the manager and seeing and finite and the ground of
 - A. Force floxibility. Strategic offensive forces, both missiles and aircraft, should be capable of selective commitment against alternative targets, with capability for rapid retargeting after attack. Forces held in

reserve should have capability for continued countermility action, well as retaliatory attacks against non-military targets.

5. Countermilitary canability. Offensive counterforce capabilities, active defenses and passive defenses, supported by warning and reconnaissance systems, should be able to reduce enemy residual military capability at least to levels that will ensure the strategic advantage of U. S. residual forces; they should be equipped to exploit possible vulnerabilities in Soviet posture or gross inefficiencies in Soviet planning or execution of attacks. These means a should be complemented by (a) geographic separation of U. S. strategic forces from population centers to the fullest extent consistent with other military objectives; (b) such executive arti-bomber and anti-missile defenses of cities as are judged to be effective; (c) civil defense which, at a minimum, provides adequate fallout protection and recovery capability from nuclear attack directed at important U. S. military strengths.

the basis of information available at the outset of hostilities and after, where contingency plans should be provided corresponding to gross differences in the circumstances and course of central war. In particular, alternative options should include counterforce operations carefully avoiding major control central while retaining U. S. ready residual forces to threaten these targets; the option to exclude major control centers from counterforce attacks

Ad also be available under all circumstances. Alternative plans covering central war with the USSR will provide both for the inclusion and exclusion of Communist China and other individual members of the Sina-Soviet Bloc in initial attacks, the choice to be designated by the President or highest and the second of the second o surviving national authority at the time of hostilities. So far as conand there is a second of the s sistent with military objectives: (a) all plans for military action against Bloc members other than the USSR and Communist China should minimize fallout and non-military damage and casualties; (b) all pllamed attacks t de lestations une d'appende voer, divingen indépendent performance de la comme de les des les des les des les des les de les de les des les against designated enemy nations should be designed to minimize resulting ente de la facilità de la marche de la facilità de la color de la marche de la facilità de la color de la facilità de la facilità de la facilità de la facilità della color della color de la facilità della color damage and casualties in all other nations, in particular neutrals and Allies en la travalla della refere della per esperienti della della della della regiona della della della della della of the U.S. Management, decision and planning aids should be provided to the secretarial terms of the form of the secretarial terms of the secre permit rapid- re-planning prior to, and, as practicable, during hostilities. En registra de la proposició de la lacida de la lacida lacidad de lacidad de la completación de la completación While avoiding premature decisions or commitments, guidelines should be And the second of the global algebraic and the competition of the second formulated and kept under review specifying acceptable terms for ending a the following their continues one for the conhostilities, suitable to the several circumstances under which central war might commence and proceed; these terms should provide for the satis-ានប្រទេស ប្រទេស នៃ ស្គ្រាម ប្រទេស ខេត្ត បានប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រសេស ប្រទេស ប្រសាស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រទេស ប្រសាស ប្រទេស ប faction of U. S. security objectives in such circumstances, without a prethe providing of the second section of the expression of determined requirement for unconditional enemy surrender. The President en entre de la companya de la compa and the Secretary of Defense will review all strategic plane.

7. Protected Command. The protected command, communications and information systems should permit coordinated, informed and solective overall direction of U. 5. forces by the highest surviving, authorized civilian and military leaders; to the utmost extent feasible,

ction should be by highest constituted political authorities at all times. In particular, these systems should be designed and protected to minimize the less of command capability and political leadership that could result from a small number of detonations, stemming from accident, unauthorized action, attack by a minor power, badly executed attack or attack intended to avoid U. S. command capabilities. By means of mobility, hardening, active defense, dispersal, intermetting, or concealment, the protection of primary command facilities and communications serving highest national leadership should aim to raise the cost to the enemy of destroying primary centers to a level which would deter him from planning to attack them, given his inability - which must be assured with the highest confidence - to paralyze U. S. response by doing so. Plans for protection of primary command capabilities and leadership should not rely upon warning, but should be prepared to utilize available warning, either strategic or tactical.

8. Wartime control. The protected command and communications system should enable highest surviving national leaders to exploit, in pursuit of national objectives, the full capability for selective, deliberate, response provided by force flexibility and endurance, information, and countermilitary capability. It should enable them to use surviving forces efficiently, to make significant choices as to overall target objectives, scope and timing of attacks, and to modify these choices during hostilities aller than the many to 22 man and the approximation with a

basis of new information. It should allow commanders not only to reslect preplanted responses but to modify them or, within limits, to improvise new ones. It should support their efforts to end hostilities on the most advantageous possible terms. It must provide highly reliable means for transmitting authenticated "Stop" or "Recall" orders to offensive forces in addition to initial "Go" orders. National leaders should have swift, reliable means of communications with Allied and enemy leaders prior to and during hostilities. Plans and preparations should be made ... to enable U. S. national leaders effectively to threat en use of U. S. reserve forces against civil or military targets as yet unhit; to carry out demonstrations; to provide evidence of remaining capabilities or to mislead the enemy by cover and deception activities; to propose terms acceptable to the U. S. for ending hostilities, safeguarding U. S. security interests in the light of circumstances of war initiatio, the conduct of the war and the results of initial operations; and to monitor and emorce conformity to agreed terms. Alarm. the the same time, the T. E. so the solitory of

wide variety of strategic responses under varying conditions of central war. Its major post-attack capabilities should effectively deter deliberate attack; yet if central war occurs, they give highest national authority maximum opportunity to preserve U. S. military advantages, to limit damage to the U. S. and its Allies and to stop the war on the most advantageous possible terms. They will allow U. S. commanders to exploit any

conity in wartime to disarm the opponent or to achieve decisive in the composition of U. S. postwar alms, if circumstances offer hope of doing so without grave jeopardy to other national goals. If an aggressor should initiate central war, these capabilities will assure him of a decisive degradation in his relative military power position and of unprecedented damage to his society (even with a countermilitary U. S. response); they will assure him of still greater damage and further worsening of his military position if he should continue the conflict. They would warn him that direct attack upon U. S. and Allied civil society would be, under any circumstances, the worst of all possible actions.

Mioreover, this posture will reduce the likelihood of unpremeditated nuclear exchanges. The protected command system, safeguarded positive control, and ability to achieve essential goals by deliberate response, without reliance upon hasty reaction under ambiguous circumstances, should reduce both the chance and enemy fear of U. S. accident, unauthorized action or false alarm. At the same time, the U. S. posture reduces the tendency of any opponent to attack hastily under similarly ambiguous circumstances, since the prospect of U. S. post-attack capabilities deprives him of incentive to do so.

In comparison to current posture, the most urgent changes demanded involve principally qualitative characteristics of force capabilities rather than major increases in force size. These characteristics complement each other; but they are important individually. Progress toward achieving

pojoz, security objectives does not domand har and tensously. In particular, all opportunities to improve the ability of constituted leaders to control the forces in a deliberate, discriminating fashion, and to enlarge the range of alternative options available to them, should be exploited on an immediate and contiming basis. I discuss the continuing was the moderate made of the second and the man established the established. The second second en, descriptions and animal description of the College Connection and Windows Lines. on a long of religion of an armagenth of one are species and fight species than development and any and contract in the contract and the end of the market. Therefore not be in a few and end of participal deflocation and soldiery will be the growth the C. S. e. Land ar more of the Jiller, the U.S. regards sho choose of least appropriate to enthe energy to vited interaction is and its allies must there days be propertied to seem er, if recessing, to repel luval opposestor to prover und the relevance negration may take place. The egactive graits waith the last west feducy while the teacher to extrin exerci-

1. The one obtains the part of the part of the part of the contract of the con

្នាក់ ដូច្នេះ ខេត្តប្រជាពីកំពុងមួយ ដើមស្បារក្នុងកង្គក់ ដូច្នេះ ដែល ដីក្រុងប្រជាពីកំពង់ ដែលប្រធានិក្សា កំពង់ប្រ ក្រុងបានដែលនេះ ស្វារៈ ស៊ីស្រី ស៊ីស្រីស្រីស្រីស្រីស្រី ស្វារៈ មានស្រីស្រីស្រី ស្វារៈ ស្វារៈ ដែលប្រធានិក្សា ស្វា the comblishment of peace terms which are compatible with the longrange objectives of the United States. The goal of the United States is
not to destroy any nation, but, if war should occur, to frustrate and
defeat opposing military forces and to create the conditions under which
the opponents will become responsible members of the international
community. It is in the interest of the United States to achieve its
wartime objectives while limiting the destructiveness of warfare, whather
it be nuclear or non-nuclear, local or global. Specifically, the United
States does not hold all the people of Russia, China, or the Satellite nations
responsible for the acts of their governments. Consequently, it is not an
objectives of the United States to maximize the number of people killed
in the Communist Bloc in the event of war.

These are imposing objectives for the immediate future. They cannot be easily achieved. But the Free World, led as it must be by the United States, is substantially more powerful than the Communist Bloc.

There is no adequate reason why the threats that comfront us cannot be successfully countered and the opportunities successfully emploited.

Section E. Military Problems and Objectives

There are a number of ways in which the security interests of the United States could be jospardized now and in the fature. Among the most serious possibilities are;

- 1. A deliberate major nuclear assault on the United States.
 2. A major assault on an area of vital interest to the United
- 2. A major assault on an area of the Morin Atlantic Treaty.
 States, in particular on that area covered by the Morin Atlantic Treaty.
- 3. A deliberate nuclear attack on the United States by a minor nuclear powers which muclear powers conflict between minor nuclear powers which could involve the major nuclear powers:
- Muclear warfare resulting from accidents, misinterpretations of incidents or intentions, false alarms, or mauthorized actions.
- 5. Local aggression sither in the form of invasion or subversion against an ally of the United States or against a state whose independence and integrity is considered of importance to the United States.
- S. Escalation of a local conflict, especially a local conflict in which the armed forces of the United States and the Sino-Soviet Bloc are directly involved.
- 7. An accident, unauthorized action, or substage involving detonation of a nuclear weapon which could lead to a degradation of readiness and alert measures, loss of base nights, weakening of alliances, or major political concessions by an ally in time of crisis.

United States at all times. The most urgent objectives are:

- 1. To deter any deliberate nuclear assault upon the United :
 Etates or its Allies.
- 2. To dater or irretrate attempts by the Sino-Soviet Blue to entend to political, military and idealogical influence by the threater-

- 2. To this end, to reduce the military capabilities of the opposite and to retain major strategic capabilities, ready, effective and controlled.
- 3. To minimize damage to the United States and its Allies, and in all events to limit such damage to a level consistent with national survival and independence. When the product of the damage is a structure of the damage in the structure of the damage in the structure of the damage is a structure of the damage in the structure of the damage is a structure of the damage in the structure of the damage is a structure of the damage in the structure of the damage is a structure of the damage in the damage in the damage is a structure of the damage in the damage is a structure of the damage is a structure of the damage in the damage in the damage is a structure of the damage in the damage is a structure of the damage in the damage is a structure of the damage is a s
- 4. Consistent with the above objectives, to achieve decisive military superiority over the opponent.

Court we consider a ready and to prove a security by the first and and a security are assessed as the security and a security and a security are assessed as the security and a security are assessed as the security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security are as a security and a security and a security are assessed as a security and a security are as a security and a security and a security are as a security and a security are as a security and a security and a security are as a security and a security and a security and a security are as a security and a security and a

terre en la calificación de la comparte de la comparta de la comparta de la comparta de la comparta de la comp La comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta del comparta de la comparta del la comparta de la comparta del la comparta de la comparta del la comparta de la comparta de la comparta del la comparta de la comparta de la comparta del la comparta